

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4625		
09/685,260	10/10/2000		Allen Anthony Klassen	13DV13523			
30540	7590	10/08/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PATRICK	R. SCAN	ILON	LAU, T	LAU, TUNG S			
PIERCE AT		OHABE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ONE MONU		-	2863	2863			

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · ·					1:					
5 .	•	Applicatio	n No.		plicant(s)					
	09/685,26	0		KLASSEN ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examin r			Art Unit					
		Tung S La			2863					
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	app ars on th	cov r	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a roperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, howev tory minin I expire Sl ication to I	er, may a reply be tim num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	05 August 2003	<u></u> 3.							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-fin	al.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
· _	ion of Claims Claim(a) 1.46 is/are pending in the applicat	tion								
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□										
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-16,18-21,23-32,34-40,42,43,45 and 46</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,3-8,70-76,78-27,23-32,34-40,42,43,45 and 46</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4,17,22,33,41 and 44</u> is/are objected to.									
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and		eguiren	nent.						
•	ion Papers		4							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b)	objecte	d to by the Exar	miner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s)	be held	in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority un	der 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume									
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT	Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage				
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority ur	nder 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•	-							
Attachmer	<u>-</u>									
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s			Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1a. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Howard et al. (U.S. Patent 5,549,002).

Regarding claim 1:

Howard discloses a method of using a computer to generate an ultrasonic inspection planning for a part, said ultrasonic inspection planning containing information needed to meet specification requirements for an ultrasonic inspection said method comprising: collecting data relating to said ultrasonic inspection planning (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-35); using said data to calculate inspection parameters (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-35); and outputting a set of inspection planning based on said calculated parameters (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-35).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 11:

Howard discloses calculation using geometry data for the part (Col. 1-2, Lines 65-34); line scan image (fig. 3a-5); including ultrasonic characteristic (fig. 3a-5); part curvature correction (fig. 3a-5).

Page 3

1b. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barrett et al. (U.S. Patent 6,549,820).

Regarding claim 36:

Barrett discloses a system for automatically generating an ultrasonic inspection planning for a part, said ultrasonic inspection planning containing information needed to meet specification requirements for an ultrasonic inspection, system including means for displaying an input screen for prompting a user to input data relating to the part (Col. 2, Lines 18-63), means for calculating inspection parameters from data (abstract), means for formatting the calculated parameters into an inspection plan document (Col. 4-5, Lines 65-67, , fig. 2, unit 108, 112, fig. 9a).

Regarding claims 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46:

Art Unit: 2863

Barrett discloses mean for displaying instruction (fig. 8a); error proofing of the part ((fig. 9a); inspection parameter of parts (fig. 9a); sequence of scan (fig. 8-11); included geometry of the part (fig. 1, unit 11); scan line imagine (fig. 2, unit 106); sensor characteristic (fig. 2, unit 106); input screen with sequence of inspection (fig. 8a)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - a. Claims 12, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard et al. (U.S. Patent 5,549,002) in view of Barrett et al. (U.S. Patent 6,549,820).

Regarding claims 12, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24:

Howard discloses a method for automatically generating an ultrasonic inspection planning for a part, said ultrasonic inspection planning containing information needed to meet specification requirements for an ultrasonic inspection, said method comprising: displaying an input screen for prompting a user to input data relating to said ultrasonic inspection planning (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-35); calculating

Art Unit: 2863

inspection parameters from said data (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-35), calculate parameter of the part (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-35, Col. 3-4, Lines 35-67), curvature correction (fig. 3-5), part geometry data (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-34), sensor characteristic (fig. 3-5).

Regarding claims 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35:

Howard discloses a computer-readable medium containing instructions for controlling a computer system to perform a method comprising: ultrasonic inspection planning containing information needed to meet specification requirements for an Ultrasonic inspection (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-35); calculating inspection parameters from said data (Col. 1-2, Lines 60-35); geometry data (fig. 4,5); flow line image (fig. 3a-3c); characteristic of the sensor (fig. 3a-3c);

Howard does not discloses the use of a plan document, displaying an input screen for prompting a user to Input data relating to an ultrasonic inspection planning for a part; error plot drawing, sequence of inspection scan, instruction screen; Barrett discloses the use of a plan document (Col. 4-5, Lines 65-66), displaying an input screen for prompting a user to Input data relating to an ultrasonic inspection planning for a part (Col. 4-5, Lines 65-66, fig. 2, unit 108, 112, fig. 9a), error plot drawing (Col. 21, Lines 1-11), sequence of inspection scan (fig. 8-11), instruction screen (fig. 8a), in order to produce graphs and

Art Unit: 2863

statistical data showing trends and other information about the parts (Col. 2, Lines 50-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Howard to have the use of a plan document, displaying an input screen for prompting a user to Input data relating to an ultrasonic inspection planning for a part; error plot drawing, sequence of inspection scan, instruction screen taught by Barrett in order to produce graphs and statistical data showing trends and other information about the parts (Col. 2, Lines 50-53).

b. Claims 7, 15, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard et al. (U.S. Patent 5,549,002) and Barrett et al. (U.S. Patent 6,549,820) and further in view of Dwyer (U.S. Patent 6,279,397).

The Howard and Barrett combination disclose a method, computer readable medium including the subject matter discussed above except the use of rotating speed; Dwyer discloses the use of rotating speed (Col. 10, Lines 43-61), in order to improve detecting flow in the part (abstract).

Art Unit: 2863

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Howard and Barrett to have the use of rotating speed taught by Dwyer), in order to improve detecting flow in the part (abstract).

Page 7

Claim Objections

3. Claims 4, 9, 17, 22, 33, 41, 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to teach the use of revision history.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 2863

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 FAX Telephone Numbers: 703-872-9306

TC2800 Customer Service FAX - (703) 872-9317

TL September 17, 2003

John Bartow Supervisory Fatent Examiner Technology Center 2800 Page 8